

To some skeptical editors who are less than enchanted with the concept, public journalism means handing the reins over to amateurs—and trading objectivity and detachment for reader chumminess.

There is no prescribed formula for the practice of public journalism, however, and there's no reason why common sense shouldn't prevail in applying it.

When great numbers of readers take a proprietary interest in the Times—when they call us to applaud or criticize “my newspaper,” and when people who work here take the position that public service is their primary mission—we're surely on the right track.

Letters to the Editor, and a range of opinion columns by writers who live in our towns, and our “Sound Off” feature, and our Earthkeeping Forum, and our Cape Cod Times Needy Fund, and the Volunteers in Journalism group recently established by members of our news staff—all are aspects of what I think of as public journalism.

But we can and should be doing more.

Last year's “Cape Cod Agenda” project was our most thoroughgoing effort at inviting the public to tell us and their political representatives where we should be focusing our attention. In order to help persuade November's batch of candidates to focus on issues that matter, we asked members of our Citizens Election Panel—a diverse panel of public-minded citizens chosen for us from a pool of volunteers by the League of Women Voters—to cite the local and regional issues most important to them. Then we invited readers to narrow the panel's two dozen issues to six, and we declared those issues to constitute the “Cap Cod Agenda.”

Agenda issues were debated by candidates—and discussed at length at a series of programs where the citizenry did most of the talking and the candidates came primarily to listen.

This fall the Times will again invite you and your neighbors to set an agenda for Cape Cod, and to talk to us and each other about things that matter individually and collectively. The agenda format may change this year, but the objective will be the same—encouraging community leaders, and the Times itself, to do a better job of serving our community of readers.

Do you think we're on the right track?

Would you like to be involved in one way or another? A postcard or letter to Agenda '95, Cape Cod Times, 319 Main Street, Hyannis, MA 02601, will get my attention and will assure you a seat on the train.

Welcome aboard.

And while I have your attention, I would like to go on record with a couple of concluding observations.

First, I'd like to say that serving as editor of the daily newspaper that serves this remarkable corner of America has been more fun than a barrel of cranberries. (Well, most days.) That has been so because I've had the privilege of working with a wondrous crew of talented, steadfast journalists who care deeply about their world and their chosen profession.

And second—to the legions of friends and acquaintances who greet me these days with the words, “I hear you're retiring,” I would like to say:

You've got to be kidding! My wife's got 17 years' worth of untended chores saved up as retirement projects.

I'm not the retiring type. It's just that someone else deserves a turn at this nifty job I've had.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE SERVICE OF KOREAN WAR VETERANS

HON. BRUCE F. VENTO

OF MINNESOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, July 27, 1995

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Speaker, today our Nations honors the many soldiers who fought in the forgotten war in Korea by dedicating the Korean War Veterans Memorial on the Mall. This Memorial is a tribute to the contributions and sacrifices made by all the men and women who served.

Near the entrance to the memorial, an inscription reads, “Our Nation Honors Her Sons and Daughters Who Answered the Call to Defend a Country They Did Not Know and People They Had Never Met.” The bravery of these Korean War veterans is inscribed in our history. They served our country in places like the Chosin Reservoir, Inchon, and Pusan. Some who went and fought did not come home, but made the ultimate sacrifice. In fact, some 54,000 Americans lost their lives. Others who served experienced events that changed their lives forever.

In Korea, United States soldiers fought in a United Nations force alongside soldiers from all over the world. As part of this multinational force, some 114,000 men and women from Minnesota answered the call to serve. Minnesotans served in all branches of our military service and they served with honor and distinction. Six hundred and eighty-eight Minnesotans were killed in action.

Because of their sacrifices and those of other United Nations troops, the Republic of Korea's freedom was preserved. Over the past 42 years, the Republic of Korea has emerged from the ruins of the war and has built one of the most successful economies in Asia.

The Korean War Veterans Memorial will be a permanent reminder for visitors to our Capital of the American soldiers who served in a difficult and costly war in Korea. As a Member from the State of Minnesota, I am proud to say that the cutting, etching, and polishing of the soldiers' faces on the granite of the memorial was done in our State at Cold Spring, Minnesota.

The memorial on the Mall is a testament to the sacrifices of the soldiers who fought and to those who never made it home. It is also a testament to those veterans who vowed never to forget their comrades. It was through their efforts that this memorial was built. I was proud to have a role in supporting and helping guide the policy and laws that facilitated this Korean War Veterans Memorial.

I join with all Americans in proudly saluting the bravery and service of America's Korean War veterans.

TRIBUTE TO KOREA VETERANS

HON. WILLIAM J. MARTINI

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, July 27, 1995

Mr. MARTINI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to remember an important chapter in American history. It was not long ago that American soldiers were fighting in the name of democracy on the shores of Korea. While it is necessary

to put those days behind us, it is also important not to lose sight of the tremendous acts of courage by our Armed Forces that are responsible for this new cordial period.

Today, here in our Nation's Capital, we will honor the men and women who gallantly served our country in the Korean war. Across from the Vietnam Memorial and in the shadow of the Lincoln Memorial, the Korean War Memorial will stand in the company of the most celebrated monuments in the Nation. It is a tribute to all those brave men and women who donned a U.S. military uniform, including those who lost their lives and those still missing. As Americans, we are indebted to the soldiers who placed their own lives on the line in order to protect the cornerstones of American freedom. They fought to protect the freedom to speak without the fear of Government censorship. They fought for the freedom to freely worship any religion without fear of retribution. All in all, they fought for the very principles that our Founding Fathers wrote into the four corners of the Constitution.

In an era that is often assumed to be bereft of leaders, we overlook these true American heroes. As a nation, we must ensure that those who have honorably served and died in our Armed Forces are remembered with gratitude. The decision to serve this country was a selfless act not only to protect the future of the United States, but the beliefs on which we founded our Nation. When the country called, these courageous young soldiers stared fear in the face and accepted the challenge no matter the cost. They embody the traits that we, as a nation, should all strive to emulate.

Mr. Speaker, I hope that we all bow our heads in remembrance of the valiant young men and women who have pledged to protect the principles of freedom that we, as Americans, cherish as no other nation on Earth.

THE FOURTH ANNUAL OSCE PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY

HON. BENJAMIN L. CARDIN

OF MARYLAND

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, July 27, 1995

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. Speaker, I was privileged to serve as a member of the U.S. delegation to the recently concluded 4th annual meeting of the OSCE Parliamentary Assembly, held in Ottawa from July 4–8. Our delegation was co-chaired by Helsinki Commission ranking member, STENY H. HOYER and Representative MICHAEL P. FORBES, and included our colleagues, LOUISE M. SLAUGHTER, ROBERT G. TORRICELLI, RONALD D. COLEMAN and THOMAS C. SAWYER.

The Parliamentary Assembly, created as a result of a United States initiative during the Bush administration, is designed to help integrate newly independent countries and emerging democracies in Central and Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union into western-style organizations. Through the Assembly, those responsible for crafting the laws which implement civic and economic reforms in the new democracies have the opportunity to share their experiences with, and gain advice from, parliamentarians from established democracies. Participation by parliamentarians from the reforming countries was strong in Ottawa. Forty-seven of OSCE's 52 fully participating States were represented in Ottawa, as

well as observers from Macedonia and Japan. Due to the continuing siege of Sarajevo, parliamentarians from Bosnia-Herzegovina were unable to attend. Their Ambassador to the OSCE was present, however, and at his request, I was pleased to make a statement on behalf of the people of Bosnia during the closing plenary session.

Mr. Speaker, in his statement to the Assembly during the closing plenary session Mr. HOYER reminded us that August 1, 1995 marks the 20th anniversary of the signing of the Helsinki Final Act. In that speech Mr. HOYER recalled the words of President Gerald Ford upon the signing of the historic accord—"This document will not be measured by the promises made in the Helsinki Final Act, but by the promises kept."

The tragic overrunning of Srebrenica and Zepa by the Bosnian Serbs, and the creation of thousands of more victims of war crimes perpetrated by the Serb aggressors is a searing reminder to all of us that there are promises to be kept. I agree wholeheartedly with my friend and colleague STENY HOYER that we can, and must, do more. I commend to you his remarks:

STATEMENT OF U.S. REPRESENTATIVE STENY HOYER, 4TH ANNUAL SESSION OF THE OSCE PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY,

July 8, 1995.

President Swaalen, Officers of the Assembly, fellow delegates: In twenty-three days, on August 1, 1995, we will celebrate the 10th anniversary of the signing of the Helsinki Final Act. That date also holds significant personal interest for me because, ten years ago, as a new member of the U.S. Helsinki Commission, I attended my first OSCE meeting—a Conference on the Human Dimension—here in Ottawa.

When President Gerald Ford signed the historic accord in Helsinki on behalf of the United States he said, "This document will not be measured by the promises made in the Helsinki Final Act, but by the promises kept."

Many signatory states viewed the words of the act dealing with human rights and the obligations that each state had toward its own citizens, as well as those of other states, as essentially meaningless window dressing. Their objective was to secure a framework in which their international political position, and the then existing map of Europe would be adjudged a fait accompli.

Ten years ago, when I came to the Helsinki meeting in Ottawa, I was told by my Soviet counterparts that the discussion of the rights of Soviet citizens was inappropriate, and an interference with their internal affairs. My delegation rejected that rationale. Words, we strongly maintained, were not enough. Words are not enough today.

The relevance of this organization or any international organization must be judged not solely on the merits of its principles, but on the strength of its commitment to those principles and on its unwillingness to witness or permit violation of those principles by signatory states.

The Helsinki Final Act, like the United Nations Charter, was an attempt to avoid the egregious mistakes of the past which had allowed so much human suffering and carnage. A history which witnessed too often the rationalization of inaction.

President George Bush, in assessing the end of the cold war and the fall of the Berlin Wall, called for a "New World Order" in which the international community would act in order to assure a global political environment dependent upon right not might.

Today we are confronted within the Helsinki sphere by the actions of those adjudged

by my government, as well as by many of yours, to be war criminals. Actions which have repeated genocide on the European continent, and created the largest number of refugees on that continent since the second world war.

We have in past meetings condemned these atrocities. As parliamentarians we have urged that such actions be stopped. And many of our members have committed people and resources to relieve the suffering and stop the criminal behavior. But we have not yet succeeded. And we must, therefore, do more.

I believe this organization can be an important instrument in realizing a world order based upon law and the principles of the final Act. I, and the members of my delegation, pledge to you our every effort to ensure the full participation of the United States Congress as a partner in the vital quest to ensure that history's judgement of the Parliamentary Assembly, and the OSCE, is that our words of principle were supported by our decisive and effective actions.

It is said in America that many can "talk the talk," but only a few are prepared to "walk the walk." The tyrants and terrorists of our world are not dissuaded or intimidated by talk. But they can and must be confronted and confounded by our walk. I believe together we can see the realization of a new world order.

INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL

HON. CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, July 27, 1995

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I hail the indictments issued this week by the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia. The number of indictments has now grown to 46; more significantly, they now include the infamous names of Radovan Karadzic and Ratko Mladic, the highest ranking political and military leaders among the Bosnian Serb hierarchy in Pale. With their indictment, Chief Prosecutor Richard Goldstone has proven himself a man of his word. Upon his appointment in July 1994, Goldstone promised to take his prosecution where the evidence leads and to bring the most culpable—those who order and enable others to commit atrocities—within the reach of the court. In so doing, his indictments bring us one step closer to holding those responsible for the orchestration of the most egregious crimes of the Yugoslav War personally responsible for their actions.

To further advance the work of this Court, the United States should take two key measures. First, the United States must ensure that the Tribunal has the financial resources to bring these cases to trial and continue with effective investigations and prosecutions. Although last year, during a period of initial start-up, the United States made a \$3 million voluntary contribution to the Tribunal, a subsequent voluntary contribution has not been forthcoming. Failure by the United States to provide adequate financial support to the Tribunal—at the very time the Tribunal's initial investigations are producing meaningful results—would send a regrettable sign of weakening U.S. resolve to see war criminals held truly accountable. If the Administration will not take the lead, Congress should earmark ap-

propriations for the Voluntary Fund for the Tribunal, consistent with the authorization in H.R. 1561.

Second, President Clinton should, once and for all, put to rest the notion that amnesty or immunity is a viable option for the architects of ethnic cleansing and those charged with genocide; the continued silence of top U.S. officials on this matter undermines confidence in the U.S. commitment to hold such individuals personally accountable. In addition, the U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, Madeleine Albright, should publicly state American resolve to use our veto, if necessary, to ensure that sanctions against Serbia remain in place until Belgrade cooperates with the Tribunal by surrendering to the Hague indicted criminals present on Serb-controlled territory. Easing sanctions throughout the past year has only been followed by Serbia's continued support for those responsible for war crimes and violations of humanitarian law, including the fall of Srebrenica and Zepa.

Mr. Speaker, there are those who have long sought to minimize the importance of this Tribunal. They have argued that it cannot succeed because we will not gain custody of the indicted—and therefore we need not try. They have argued that it cannot succeed because it lacks resources—and therefore we need not bother to provide it with the means to do the job we have given it. And they have argued that it cannot succeed because war criminals sit as negotiators—and therefore we should merely continue to negotiate with them rather than seek to bring them to justice. But even if those indicted this week are never brought to trial, this Tribunal has already ensured that they will be fugitives for the rest of their lives, subject to international arrest warrants wherever they go. Moreover, by identifying individual perpetrators, this court may pave the way for the innocent among all ethnic groups in this conflict to reconcile the divisions in society that these war criminals exploited for their own personal ends.

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1996

SPEECH OF

HON. GARY A. FRANKS

OF CONNECTICUT

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, July 20, 1995

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1976) making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and related agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes:

Mr. FRANKS of Connecticut. Mr. Chairman, I rise in strong support of the Hall-Roukema amendment to the Agriculture Appropriations for fiscal year 1996. This amendment eliminates the cap on the number of people who can participate in the WIC Program. In an effort to return power to the States, make our Government more efficient, and help countless individuals, it is essential to remove this cap.